Interview Summary

Application No. 09/099,307

Applicant(s)

ERTL et al.

Examiner

Christine Saoud

Group Art Unit 1647



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Christine Saoud	(3)
(2) Matthew Latimer	(4)
Date of Interview Sep 13, 2000	
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 59 and 63-66	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
improper. Claims 63-66 recite "prolonged", but this ten polypeptide.	s in condition for allowance. Claim 59 is a "use" claim, which is rm does not appear to convey the concept of an extended
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	eparate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST IN	ate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP IN has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTHER TOF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requirem claims are now allowable, this completed form	e (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to nents that may be present in the last Office action, and since the is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last roviding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above CHRISTINE SACUE PATENT EXAMINER
	Christine Saoud
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is	0.00